

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/091,665	09/02/1998	JAN ENDRIKAT	SCH1637	5200	
23599 75	07/09/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			QAZI, SABIHA NAIM		
2200 CLAREN SUITE 1400	DON BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			1616		

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

v	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/091,665	ENDRIKAT ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sabiha Qazi	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment which	ntion. A proper reply n places the applica	tion in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI	g date of the final rejecti IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr	on. See MPEP opriate extension		
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply on the control of the con	originally set in the final	Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-7 and 14-30</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 36-77.					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	_			
10. Other:		5.00%			
	S P	SABIHA QAZI, PH.I RIMARY EXAMINE	D IR		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims do not have support in the specification as has been explained in our previous actions. The rejections are maintained for the same reasons as set forth in our previous action..